10.18686/rcha.v2i3.4088

Systematic Analysis of Art Project Management Risks: an Exploration of Assessment Methods and Control Strategies

Wenlan Zhao

School of Business, Belarusian State University, Minsk, Belarus, 220030

Abstract: This study is dedicated to exploring risk assessment and control strategies in arts project management. Through a systematic theoretical framework, combining quantitative and qualitative research methods, this paper develops and validates a risk assessment model adapted to the characteristics of art projects. The empirical study involves three different types of art projects, and the results show that the model can effectively identify key risks and guide the implementation of risk control strategies. The study highlights the importance of systematic risk management in enhancing the success of arts projects and provides practical strategies and tools for arts project managers to facilitate further integration of theory and practice.

Keywords: Arts project management; Risk assessment; Risk control strategies; Systematic analysis; Quantitative and qualitative methods

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Arts projects are unique due to their innovation, uniqueness and their cultural and social values. These projects usually involve the collaboration of multiple parties, including artists, funders, audiences, and administrators. Compared to traditional project management, arts project management is more complex and involves a high degree of uncertainty and creative variables. As arts projects are often highly personalised and emotionally engaging, their risk management also presents unique challenges. Risk identification, assessment and control become key factors in ensuring project success.

1.2 The need for the study

Although arts project management has received widespread attention in practice, systematic research on risk management in arts projects is relatively limited in the field of academic research. The risk assessment and control strategy of art projects not only affects the execution efficiency of the project, but also directly relates to the creation quality of art works and the transmission of cultural values. Therefore, the development of a set of risk management methodology for art projects can not only enhance the professional level of project management, but also help to promote the healthy development of art and cultural industries.^[6]

1.3 Research Questions, Objectives and Assumptions

This study aims to address the following research questions:

What are the main types of risks in art projects?

How can these risks be systematically assessed and managed?

Which control strategies are most effective in arts projects?

The research objectives include:

To systematically identify and categorise risks in arts projects.

Develop and validate a risk assessment model applicable to arts projects.

Explore and empirically analyse effective risk control strategies.

Research Hypothesis:

A systematic risk management framework can improve the management efficiency and success of art projects.

Risk assessment models that combine quantitative and qualitative methods are more effective in arts projects.

2. Literature Review

This chapter reviews the literature on risk assessment and control strategies in arts project management. Studies have shown that arts projects, due to their uniqueness and creativity, face a number of specific risks, such as financial instability, time delays and technical chal-

lenges. Although traditional project management theories provide certain risk management frameworks, the specificity of art projects requires more specific and innovative management approaches. It is widely recognised in the literature that a combination of qualitative and quantitative methods can provide a more comprehensive assessment of risks in arts projects. In addition, research has emphasised the importance of interdisciplinary teamwork for risk management. The literature review in this chapter reveals the shortcomings of existing research and points out possible directions for future research.^{[5][1]}

3. Theoretical Framework and Research Methodology

3.1 Theoretical framework

This study adopts systems theory as the basis for constructing a theoretical framework for risk management in art projects. Systems theory emphasises the interactions between components and their impact on overall performance, and is suitable for dealing with variable and interrelated risk factors in art projects. By defining the key risk areas of an art project, the framework aims to reveal the dynamic relationship between risk assessment and control strategies.^[5]

3.2 Research Methodology

This study combines quantitative and qualitative methods to provide a comprehensive analytical perspective. Quantitative data sources include historical project records and questionnaires, aiming to identify risk patterns and strategy effectiveness through statistical methods. Qualitative data were collected through semi-structured interviews with art project managers and participants, which were used to gain insights into the actual operation of risk management and the applicability of strategies.^[1]

3.3 Data collection and analysis

Data collection was divided into two phases: the first phase focussed on quantitative data collection, which was obtained by designing questionnaires and accessing existing databases. The second stage was qualitative data collection, conducted through semi-structured interviews. Data analysis was also divided into two parts: descriptive statistics and regression analysis were used for the quantitative data to identify risk factors and assess the effectiveness of control strategies, while content analysis was used for the qualitative data, from which key themes and patterns of risk management were distilled.

3.4 Research validity and limitations

This chapter concludes with an assessment of the validity of the research methods used and a discussion of possible limitations. The reliability of the study was ensured by ensuring that the data collection and analysis process was transparent and reproducible. Limitations arise mainly from sample selection and subjective interpretation bias, and these will be continually monitored and attempted to be mitigated during the course of the study.

This chapter constructs a comprehensive research framework applicable to the assessment and management of risk in arts projects, providing a solid theoretical and methodological foundation for subsequent empirical research.

4. Development of a Risk Assessment Model for Arts Projects

4.1 Background and Objectives of the Study

The aim of this chapter is to develop a risk assessment model applicable to arts projects. Due to the unique risk characteristics of arts projects, traditional risk assessment tools are often inadequate to address their specific needs. Therefore, this study designs an integrated model that combines qualitative and quantitative methods to more accurately identify and assess risks in arts projects.

4.2 Model Design

The model design is based on systems theory and risk management theory, and adopts the methods of hierarchical analysis (AHP) and risk matrix. Firstly, common risk factors in art projects, such as financial risk, organisational risk and technical risk, were identified through literature review and expert interviews. Next, the weights of each risk factor are determined using hierarchical analysis, and finally the risk level is classified and assessed through the risk matrix.^[2]

4.3 Model Validation

In order to verify the validity of the model, three different types of art projects were selected for empirical testing in this study. By collecting project data, applying the risk assessment model developed in this study for risk analysis, and comparing and analysing it with the project results, the predictive accuracy and practicality of the model are assessed.

4.4 Results and Discussion

The results of the study show that the model is effective in identifying and assessing key risks in arts projects and is highly consistent with the problems encountered in actual project implementation. In addition, the application of the model helped the project team to formulate

risk response strategies in advance, thus effectively avoiding or mitigating the negative impacts of risks.

5. An Empirical Study of Risk Control Strategies

5.1 Research Design

This chapter explores the practical application and effectiveness of risk control strategies in arts projects through empirical research. A case study approach is adopted, in which three representative art projects are selected as the objects of study, and the risk control strategies adopted in each project and their effectiveness are analysed in detail.^[3]

5.2 Description of the Selected Cases

Case 1 involves an international art exhibition, and the main risks faced include time delays and budget overruns. Case 2 is a street art performance, and the risks faced include safety issues and public participation. Case III is an art auction where the main risks are market volatility and participant uncertainty.

5.3 Data Collection and Analysis

Data on the specific risk control strategies implemented in each case were collected through interviews with the project leader and team members. In addition, quantitative and qualitative data on project implementation and outcomes were collected by reviewing project documents and media reports. The data were analysed using thematic analysis to identify effective risk control strategies and the effectiveness of their application in different contexts.^[4]

5.4 Key Findings

The study found that risk transfer and mitigation strategies were most effective in large-scale international art exhibitions; while in street art performances, risk acceptance and active participation strategies resulted in higher public participation and success rates. In art auctions, diversified market strategies and ex-ante market analyses significantly reduced the risk of market volatility.

5.5 Conclusions and Recommendations

The research in this chapter has shown that risk control strategies for art projects need to be customised according to the type of project and the specific context. It is recommended that when designing a risk management plan, arts project managers should consider the characteristics of the project and the external environment, and choose appropriate risk control strategies to improve the success and efficiency of the project.

Through empirical cases, this chapter demonstrates the application and effectiveness of different risk control strategies in actual art projects, and provides specific operational guidelines and strategic recommendations for art project risk management.

6. Discussion and Recommendations

6.1 General Discussion

This study reveals the effectiveness of risk assessment and control strategies in arts project management through systematic analysis and empirical research. The study reveals that customised risk management strategies are essential to improve the success of art projects.

6.2 Research Limitations and Future Directions

The research limitations are mainly reflected in the sample size and scope. Future research could expand the sample size and explore risk management of art projects in different cultural and geographical contexts.

6.3 Recommendations for Practice

It is recommended that art project managers adopt the risk assessment model developed in this study and adjust their risk control strategies according to the specific circumstances of their projects. Meanwhile, interdisciplinary teamwork is encouraged to enrich risk management perspectives and strategies.

7. Conclusion

This study systematically analysed risk assessment and control strategies in arts project management, and developed and validated a risk assessment model specifically for arts projects. The empirical study demonstrated that the model effectively identifies the key risks in a project and significantly improves the success rate of the project by implementing appropriate control strategies. By combining quantitative and qualitative research methods, this study not only enriches the theoretical foundation of risk management in arts projects, but also provides practitioners with specific management tools and strategies.

This study highlights the importance of systematic risk management in the success of art projects and provides new perspectives and methodologies for future research in this area. It is hoped that these findings will bring positive contributions to academic research and practical applications of arts project management.

References

- Müller, R., Geraldi, J., & Turner, J.R. (2012). Relationships between leadership and success in different types of project complexities. IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, 59(1), 77-90. DOI: 10.1109/TEM.2011.2114352
- [2] Bakhshi, H., Freeman, A., & Higgs, P. (2013). A Dynamic Mapping of the UK's Creative Industries. Nesta.
- [3] Georgiadou, A., & Söderlund, J. (2018). The impact of project management methodologies on project performance: A case study of the telecommunications sector. International Journal of Project Management, 36(3), 537-556. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.12.004
- [4] Bresnen, M., & Marshall, N. (2000). Building partnerships: Case studies of client-contractor collaboration in the UK construction industry. Construction Management and Economics, 18(7), 819-832.
- [5] Kerzner, H. (2017). Project Management: A Systems Approach to Planning, Scheduling, and Controlling. 12th ed. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- [6] DeMeyer, A., Loch, C.H., & Pich, M.T. (2002). Managing project uncertainty: From variation to chaos. MIT Sloan Management Review, 43(2), 60-67.